



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,995	04/13/2004	James R. Lattner	2001B127B/2	4672

23455 7590 08/22/2005

EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY
5200 BAYWAY DRIVE
P.O. BOX 2149
BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149

EXAMINER

STRICKLAND, JONAS N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1754

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/822,995

Applicant(s)

LATTNER ET AL.

Examiner

Jonas N. Strickland

Art Unit

1754

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 30-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 30-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/13/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1754

4. Claims 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lattner et al. (US Patent 6,023,005) in view of Harandi et al. (US Patent 4,939,314).

Applicant claims a method of adding heat to a reactor system having an oxygenate to olefin reaction zone and a catalyst regeneration zone wherein catalyst is cycled from the reaction zone to the regeneration zone and from the regeneration zone to the reaction zone, the method comprising: fluidizing catalyst in the presence of an oxygen-containing gas; heating the catalyst in said regeneration zone to a first temperature; introducing a heating fuel into the regeneration zone wherein the heating fuel has about 500 wppm or less of sulfur and has about 200 wppm or less nitrogen and an autoignition temperature greater than the first temperature but no greater than about 482°C (900°F) to provide a heated catalyst; and providing the heated catalyst into the reaction zone.

Lattner et al. discloses a process for converting oxygenates to olefins having a reaction zone and a catalyst regeneration zone, wherein the catalyst is cycled from the reaction zone to the regeneration zone to the reaction zone (see abstract and col. 5, lines 18-25). Lattner et al. discloses a regeneration medium comprised of oxygen (col. 5, lines 26-32). The catalyst is a molecular sieve catalyst. However, Lattner et al. does not disclose adding a heating fuel into the regeneration zone.

Harandi et al. discloses a process for oligomerization of olefins to higher hydrocarbons, wherein the catalyst is oxidatively regenerated at a temperature in the range of 371-510°C (col. 7, line 65 – col. 8, line 5). Harandi et al. continues to teach

Art Unit: 1754

wherein the regenerator is operated continuously and in order to keep the regenerator hot during this period, fuel may be added to the regenerator as a source of heat (col. 21, lines 38-44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Lattner et al., based on the teachings of Harandi et al., by introducing a heating fuel into the regeneration zone wherein the heating fuel has about 500 wppm or less of sulfur and has about 200 wppm or less nitrogen and an autoignition temperature greater than the first temperature but no greater than about 482°C (900°F) to provide a heated catalyst, because Harandi et al. teach wherein the regenerator is operated continuously and in order to keep the regenerator hot during this period, fuel may be added to the regenerator as a source of heat. Such modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because one of ordinary skill in the art, would have expected a process having an olefin reaction zone, wherein the catalyst is regenerated in an oxygen atmosphere as taught by Harandi et al., to have been similarly useful and applicable to a process for regenerating a catalyst, which also uses oxygen in a process for producing olefins as taught by Lattner et al. Furthermore, it should be noted that about 500 wppm or less of sulfur and has about 200 wppm or less nitrogen in the heating fuel can read on 0, making the percentage of sulfur and nitrogen in the fuel as disclosed by Harandi obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claim 32, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to achieve a desired percentage of nickel and vanadium, based on the teachings of Harandi et al., which teaches having nickel (col. 17, lines 26-30).

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent 6,039,863; US Patent 5,827,793; US Patent 5,332,704.


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonas N. Strickland whose telephone number is 571-272-1359. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 7:30-5:00, off 1st Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Jonas N. Strickland
August 17, 2005



STANLEY S. SILVERMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700